



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

#6A  
n.n-  
S/1/03  
RECEIVED  
APR 21 2003  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

In re Application of:

John William Carroll

Serial No.: 09/621,307

Filed: July 20, 2000

Titled: **A THERAPEUTIC  
COMPOSITION**

§ Atty. Docket No.: FREA,002

§

§

§

§ Examiner: J.A. Venkat

§

§

§ Group Art Unit: 1615

§

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
AND TRADEMARKS  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Mark R. Wisner, Registration No. 30,603

April 11, 2003

Date

**RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION OF DECEMBER 11, 2002**

Dear Sir:

Applicant responds to the Official Action of December 11, 2002 in the captioned application as follows. Also enclosed is a request for a one month extension of the time to respond and a check for the required fee. In the event the certificate of mailing on either document was either inadvertently not executed or improperly executed, any necessary fees were not included and/or were insufficient in amount, or for any other reason this Response is not considered timely filed, request is hereby made for an extension of the time to respond for the period necessary to ensure consideration of this Response and the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0965 (FREA,002) in the amount of any necessary fee.

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Cancel claim 6 without prejudice.

**REMARKS**

In the Official Action of December 11, 2002, claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for failing to point out and claim that which Applicant regards as his invention. Claims 1-5, 7-39, and 41-42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over the combination of U.S. Patents Nos. 5,431,924, 5,795,573, 6,444,238, and the Skin Care Dictionary. Claim 40 was objected to but indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.